

Linear Operators on Matrices: The Invariance of Rank- k Matrices

LeRoy B. Beasley

Department of Mathematics

Utah State University

Logan, Utah 84322-3900

Submitted by Richard A. Brualdi

ABSTRACT

Let T be a linear operator on the space of all $m \times n$ complex matrices such that the rank of $T(A)$ is the rank of A whenever the rank of A is k . We show that there are nonsingular $m \times m$ and $n \times n$ matrices U and V respectively such that either

$$T(A) = UAV \quad \text{for all } m \times n \text{ matrices } A,$$

or

$$m = n \quad \text{and} \quad T(A) = UA^tV \quad \text{for all } m \times m \text{ matrices } A.$$

I. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$ denote the set of all $m \times n$ matrices over the algebraically closed field \mathbb{F} , and let $\rho(A)$ denote the rank of A . We define a *rank- k -preserver* to be a linear operator T on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$ such that $\rho(A) = k$ implies $\rho(T(A)) = k$. Further, if T is a rank- k -preserver, we say that T *preserves rank- k matrices*. A linear operator which is a rank- k -preserver for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, \min(m, n)$ is called a *rank preserver*.

Marcus and Moyls [4] proved in the following theorem in 1959:

THEOREM 1. *If \mathbb{F} is algebraically closed and of characteristic 0 and T is a rank preserver, then there exist $m \times m$ and $n \times n$ matrices U and V ,*

respectively, such that either

$$T(A) = UAV \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$$

or

$$m = n \quad \text{and} \quad T(A) = UA^tV \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{F}),$$

where A^t denotes the transpose of A .

Also in 1959, Marcus and Moyls [5] showed that if T is a rank-1 preserver then T is a rank preserver. Westwick [6] generalized these results to matrices over arbitrary algebraically closed fields in 1967. In a series of papers appearing between 1970 and 1983, Beasley [1-3] has shown that if T is a rank- k -preserver and $\max(m, n) \leq k + 1$, $\min(m, n) = k$, $\max(m, n) \geq 3k/2$, or T is nonsingular, then T is a rank preserver (and hence has the form given above). This paper extends that result to all k without any restrictions except that the field must be the complex field. For easy reference we state:

THEOREM 2. *If T is a nonsingular rank- k -preserver on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$, then T is a rank preserver.*

Proof. [1]. ■

Let E be a set of nonnegative integers; then let \mathcal{R}_E denote the subset of $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$ consisting of all matrices A with $\rho(A) \in E$. A subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$ which has only elements of rank k or 0 is called a *rank- k space*. This is equivalent to saying that the subspace is a subset of $\mathcal{R}_{\{k,0\}}$.

Throughout the remainder of this paper, we will adopt the convention that $k < m \leq n$. This causes no loss in generality. Further, we will assume that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, and use the notation $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}$ to denote $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$.

II. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

LEMMA 1. *If there exists a singular rank- k -preserver on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}$, then there exists a proper subset, G , of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, and a rank- k -preserver T such that $\text{Im } T \subseteq \mathcal{R}_G \cup \{0\}$ and $\text{Ker } T \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{G^c} \cup \{0\}$, where G^c denotes the complement of G in $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.*

Proof. [3, Corollary 2] and [1, Theorem 9.1(iii)]. ■

LEMMA 2. *The maximum dimension of a rank- k subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}$ is at most $m + n - 2k + 1$.*

Proof. [7]. ■

LEMMA 3. *If T is an operator on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}$ which preserves rank- k matrices, then $\text{Ker } T \cap \mathcal{R}_{(1)} = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Suppose that there is some rank-1 matrix in the kernel of T . Since T is a rank- k -preserver if and only if $T \circ S$ is a rank- k -preserver for all rank- k preservers S , we may assume without loss of generality that $T(E_{m,1}) = 0$, where $E_{i,j}$ is the matrix with a 1 in the (i, j) position and zero elsewhere. Define K_i by

$$K_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{k,i-1} & I_k & 0_{k,n-k-i+1} \\ 0_{m-k,i-1} & 0_{m-k,k} & 0_{m-k,n-k-i+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - k + 1$. Further, define L_i by

$$L_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{k,i} & B_k & 0_{k,n-k-i} \\ 0_{m-k,i} & 0_{m-k,k} & 0_{m-k,n-k-i} \end{bmatrix}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - k$, where $B_k = E_{11} + 2E_{22} + 3E_{33} + \dots + kE_{kk}$, and let

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{k-1,n-k+1} & I_{k-1} \\ 0_{m-k+1,n-k+1} & 0_{m-k+1,k-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let \mathcal{X} be the subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}$ generated by the above matrices, that is, $\mathcal{X} = \langle \{K_i\}_{i=1}^{n-k+1} \cup \{L_i\}_{i=1}^{n-k} \cup \{C\} \rangle$. First observe that the dimension of \mathcal{X} is $2n - 2k + 2 > m + n - 2k + 1$. Secondly, if $X \in \mathcal{X}$ then $X = \sum_{i=1}^{n-k+1} \alpha_i K_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \beta_i L_i + \gamma C$ for some choice of the α_i 's, β_i 's, and γ in \mathbb{C} . If X is some nonzero element of \mathcal{X} , then either X is a multiple of C or some α_i or β_i is nonzero. If X is a multiple of C , then $\rho(X) = k - 1$ and $\rho(E_{m,1} + X) = k$, so that $\rho(T(X)) = \rho(T(E_{m,1} + X)) = \rho(E_{m,1} + X) = k$, since $T(E_{m,1}) = 0$. If some α_i or β_i is nonzero, then $\rho(X) = k$ or $\rho(X) = k - 1$. If $\rho(X) = k$

then $\rho(T(X)) = k$, while if $\rho(X) = k - 1$ then $\alpha_1 = 0$ and hence $\rho(E_{m,1} + X) = k$, so that $\rho(T(X)) = \rho(T(E_{m,1} + X)) = \rho(E_{m,1} + X) = k$. In every case, $\rho(T(X)) = k$ whenever $X \in \mathcal{K}$ and $X \neq 0$. Thus, $T(\mathcal{K})$ is a rank- k space of dimension $2n - 2k + 2$, a contradiction to Lemma 2. ■

LEMMA 4. *If T is a rank- k -preserver on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}$, then $\text{Ker } T \cap \mathcal{P}_E = \emptyset$, where $E = \{m - k + 1, \dots, k\}$.*

Proof. If $n \geq 3k/2$, then $\text{Ker } T = \{0\}$ by [3], and the lemma follows. Thus we assume that $k < m \leq n < 3k/2$.

Suppose that some matrix of rank $s > m - k$ is in the kernel of T . We may assume without loss of generality that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_s \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Ker } T.$$

Define P_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - k + 1$, by

$$P_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{m-k, i-1} & 0_{m-k, k} & 0_{m-k, n-k-i+1} \\ 0_{k, i-1} & I_k & 0_{k, n-k-i+1} \end{bmatrix},$$

and define Q_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m - k + 1$, by

$$Q_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{s+i-1, k-s} & 0_{s+i-1, n-k+s} \\ I_{k-s} & 0_{k-s, n-k+s} \\ 0_{m-k-i+1, k-s} & 0_{m-k-i+1, n-k+s} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, let $\mathcal{K} = \langle \{P_i\}_{i=1}^{n-k+1} \cup \{Q_i\}_{i=1}^{m-k+1} \rangle$, the subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}$ generated by the above matrices. Clearly, the dimension of \mathcal{K} is $m + n - 2k + 2$.

Now if $X \in \mathcal{K}$, then either $\rho(X) = k$, whenever the expression of X as a linear combination of the P_i 's and Q_i 's contains a nonzero multiple of some P_i ; $\rho(X) = k - s$, whenever X contains a nonzero multiple of some Q_i and no nonzero multiple of any P_i ; or X is zero. If $\rho(X) = k$ then $\rho(T(X)) = k$. If $\rho(X) = k - s$ then $\rho(A + X) = k$, and since $T(A) = 0$, $\rho(T(X)) = \rho(T(A + X)) = k$. Thus if K is a nonzero element of \mathcal{K} , $T(K)$ is nonzero and of rank k . That is, $T(\mathcal{K})$ is a rank- k space of dimension $m + n - 2k + 2$, a contradiction to Lemma 2. ■

Define \mathcal{G} to be the subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}$ of all matrices of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where A is $k \times k$.

LEMMA 5. *If T is a singular rank- k -preserver, then there exists a rank preserver S such that $\text{Im } S \circ T \subseteq \mathcal{G}$.*

Proof. [1, Lemma 2.2]. ■

III. THE MAIN THEOREM

We are now ready to prove the main theorem. For a matrix X and subsequences α and β of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, respectively, we use the notation $X[\alpha|\beta]$ to denote the submatrix of X on rows α and columns β .

THEOREM 3. *If T is a rank- k -preserver on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{M}_{m,n}$, then there exist $m \times m$ and $n \times n$ matrices U and V respectively such that either*

$$T(A) = UAV \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n},$$

or

$$m = n \quad \text{and} \quad T(A) = UA^tV \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{M}_{m,m},$$

where A^t denotes the transpose of A .

Proof. Suppose that T is a singular rank- k -preserver. By [3] we may assume that $n < 3k/2$. By Lemma 1, we may assume that for some subset G of $\{1, \dots, k\}$, $\text{Ker } T \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{G^c} \cup \{0\}$ and $\text{Im } T \subseteq \mathcal{R}_G \cup \{0\}$. Note that T is 1-1 and onto $\text{Im } T$. Let s be the largest integer in G^c which is less than k . By Lemma 4, $s \leq m - k$. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} I_s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Ker } T.$$

Let \mathcal{H} be the rank-1 space $\mathcal{H} = \langle \{E_{k+1, k+i}\}_{i=1}^{n-k} \rangle$. By Lemma 3, $T(H) \neq 0$ for all nonzero $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Also by Lemma 5 we may assume that $\text{Im } T \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. Thus, $\mathcal{H} \cap \text{Im } T = \{0\}$.

Let $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Since $T(H) \neq 0$, there is some $L \in \text{Im } T$ such that $T(L) = T(H)$. That is, $T(L - H) = 0$. Since the coefficient of t^s in the expansion of $\det((tA + L - H)[1, 2, \dots, s, k+1 | 1, 2, \dots, s, k+i])$ is nonzero for some i corresponding to a nonzero entry in H , and since $tA + L - H$ is in the kernel of T , the rank of $tA + L - H$ is at least $s+1$ for some t ; thus by the choice of s , the rank is at least $k+1$ for some t . Since the rank of A is s , the rank of $L - H$ is at least $k - s + 1 \geq k - (m - k) + 1 = 2k - m + 1 > s$. Thus $\rho(L - H) \geq k + 1$. Since $\rho(H) = 1$, and $\rho(L) \leq k$ (since $L \in \text{Im } T$), it follows that $\rho(L) = k$, and thus $\rho(T(H)) = \rho(T(L)) = k$.

For $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - k$, define X_i to be

$$X_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{1,i} & 0_{1,k} & 0_{1,n-k-i} \\ 0_{k,i} & D_k & 0_{k,n-k-i} \\ 0_{m-k-1,i} & 0_{m-k-1,k} & 0_{m-k-1,n-k-i} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$D_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a $k \times k$ matrix. Further, let

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{s+1, n-k+1} & 0_{s+1, k-s} & 0_{s+1, s-1} \\ 0_{k-s, n-k+1} & D_{k-s} & 0_{k-s, s-1} \\ 0_{m-k-1, n-k+1} & 0_{m-k-1, k-s} & 0_{m-k-1, s-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

and let $\mathcal{X} = \langle \{X_i\}_{i=1}^{n-k+1} \cup \{Y\} \cup \mathcal{H} \rangle$.

Now if $C \in \mathcal{X}$ and C is nonzero, then either $\rho(C) = k$, $\rho(C) = k - s$, or $C \in \mathcal{H}$. If $\rho(C) = k$ then $\rho(T(C)) = k$. If $\rho(C) = k - s$ then $\rho(A + C) = k$, and since $T(A + C) = T(C)$, $\rho(T(C)) = k$. If $C \in \mathcal{H}$, then from the above, $\rho(T(C)) = k$. In any case, $\rho(T(C)) = k$. Thus, $T(\mathcal{X})$ is a rank- k space of dimension $2n - 2k + 2$, a contradiction to Lemma 2.

Thus T must be nonsingular. Applying Theorem 1 to Theorem 2 completes the proof of the theorem. \blacksquare

REFERENCES

- 1 LeRoy B. Beasley, Linear transformations on matrices: The invariance of rank- k matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 3:407–427 (1970).
- 2 LeRoy B. Beasley, Linear transformations which preserve fixed rank, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 40:183–187 (1981).
- 3 LeRoy B. Beasley, Rank- k preservers and preservers of sets of ranks, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 55:11–17 (1983).
- 4 M. Marcus and B. N. Moyls, Linear transformations on algebras of matrices, *Canad. J. Math.* 11:61–66 (1959).
- 5 M. Marcus and B. N. Moyls, Transformations on tensor product spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* 9:1215–1221 (1959).
- 6 R. Westwick, Transformations on tensor spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* 23:613–620 (1967).
- 7 R. Westwick, Spaces of matrices of fixed rank, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 20:171–174 (1987).

Received 22 June 1987; final manuscript accepted September 1987